

MEDICAL SOCIETY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 2022 HOUSE OF DELEGATES

**Report of the Reference Committee on Socio-Medical Economics
Presented by: Ronald Solomon, MD, Chair**

Mister Speaker and Members of the House of Delegates:

Your Reference Committee recommends the following consent calendar for acceptance:

SUNSET REPORT RECOMMEND FOR ADOPTION

1. 2022 Sunset Report for Socio-Medical Economics

RECOMMENDED FOR REAFFIRMATION

2. Resolution 250 - Sheltering from Unfair Health Insurance Practice
3. Resolution 251 - Every Worker Deserves Payment

RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION

1. Resolution 253 - Patient Centered Medical Home Administrative Burdens
2. Resolution 254 - Patient Centered Medical Home Metrics and Funding

RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION AS AMENDED

6. Resolution 252 - Clarification of Downgraded Modifiers For New York Independent Dispute Resolution
7. Resolution 255 - Value Based Payment Models. Evidence Based Medicine and Quality of Care
8. Resolution 256 - CMS Innovation Projects
9. Resolution 257 - Ninety Day Refills and Care Gap Failures
10. Resolution 259 - Coverage for Personal Protective Equipment

RECOMMENDED FOR REFERRAL TO COUNCIL

11. Resolution 258 - Pharmacogenetics Insurance Coverage
12. Resolution 260 - Concurrent Processing of Procedure Equipment

1 **1. POLICY SUNSET REPORT**

2
3 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE SUNSET REPORT FOR**
4 **SOCIO-MEDICAL ECONOMICS BE AMENDED BY RETAINING MSSNY POLICY 120.952:**

5
6 **120.952 Insurance Companies Dis-enrollment of Participating Physicians**

7 The Medical Society of the State of New York will seek legislation that would expand physician
8 protections similar to those enunciated in Public Health Law § 4406-d for non-renewal of a
9 network contract for both managed care plans and HMOs in order to enable physicians to have
10 the right to appeal a plan’s non-renewal decision and have a hearing, if needed.

11 The Medical Society will urge the Department of Financial Services to require that all health
12 insurance companies doing business in the State of New York provide clear and concise
13 justification with appropriate documentation which substantiates a decision to terminate or non-
14 renew a physician’s participation status. When a physician receives a notification that his/her
15 participation agreement is being terminated or not renewed, an appropriate appeals mechanism
16 be provided which allows adequate time for the physician to seek appropriate counsel (if
17 necessary) and to assemble any necessary and supporting documentation which may be
18 needed to assist in the appeal. (HOD 2012-259)

19
20 **RECOMMENDATION A:**

21 **Retain the policy as it is still relevant ~~Sunset, current legislation on the floor “An ACT to~~**
22 **~~amend the public health law and the insurance law, in relation to health care professional~~**
23 **~~applications and terminations.”~~**

24
25 **RECOMMENDATION B:**

26 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE REMAINDER OF THE 2022**
27 **SUNSET REVIEW REPORT FOR SOCIO-MEDICAL ECONOMICS BE ADOPTED.**

28
29 The Reference Committee heard testimony from physicians recommending MSSNY Policy
30 120.952 be retained because health insurers continue to unfairly remove physicians from
31 participation with health insurance networks without providing appropriate appeal rights. While
32 the Reference Committee was made aware of legislation (A.4177, Lavine/S.2528. Rivera), for
33 which MSSNY is actively advocating, to provide due process consistent with the policy, it
34 believed that this policy should be continued as long as the problem continued to exist. The
35 Reference Committee agreed with this recommendation to retain this policy and urges that the
36 rest of the sunset report be adopted.

37
38
39 **2. RESOLUTION 250 - SHELTERING FROM UNFAIR HEALTH INSURANCE PRACTICE**

40
41 Original Resolution 250 reads as follows:

42 Resolved, that the Medical Society of the State of New York supports legislation and/or
43 regulation that limits the amount of time from the date of service health insurance companies
44 have to claw back reimbursement to physicians; and be it further

45
46 Resolved, that the Medical Society of the State of New York supports legislation and/or
47 regulation that limits the number of charts reviewed annually for the purposes of clawing back
48 reimbursement to physicians; and it further

49
50 Resolved, that this resolution be forwarded to the American Medical Association.

51
52 **RECOMMENDATION A:**

53 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING REAFFIRMATION OF**
54 **MSSNY POLICY INSTEAD OF RESOLUTION 250:**
55

56 **165.918** **Time Limit for Retrospective Denials:**

57 MSSNY continues in its efforts to seek legislation, regulation or other appropriate means
58 to prohibit retrospective refund requests by health plans in all circumstances except
59 fraud. Short of achieving a complete ban on retrospective refund requests, MSSNY
60 seek legislation, regulation or other appropriate means to limit to 90 days the time within
61 which a health plan can seek such a refund, or other significant restrictions on the ability
62 of health plans to seek such refunds, such as limiting the time that a health plan can
63 seek a refund to the same time that a physician has to file a claim with such health
64 plan. (HOD 2003-69; Reaffirmed HOD 2013; Reaffirmed HOD in lieu of 2017-108)
65

66 **RECOMMENDATION B:**

67 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS REAFFIRMATION OF MSSNY POLICY**
68 **165.918.**
69

70 The Reference Committee heard significant testimony in support of the resolution because
71 health insurers continue to engage in abusive audit practices that are adversely and unfairly
72 impacting physician practices. In some cases, the claims reviews can go back multiple years.
73 The Reference Committee was advised that New York has a law that limits to 2 years the time
74 period for health insurers to recoup previously paid claims, as well as MSSNY's legislative
75 efforts to limit health insurer audits, including legislation (A.870, Gottfried) to prohibit health
76 insurer extrapolation audits. Your Reference Committee was also made aware of existing
77 MSSNY policies, including Policy 165.918, that provides even stronger protections for
78 physicians than what was proposed in the proposed Resolution 250. Given the very strong
79 policy already adopted by MSSNY, the Reference Committee recommended that existing policy
80 be re-affirmed in lieu of the proposed resolution.
81
82

83 **3. RESOLUTION 251 – EVERY WORKER DESERVES PAYMENT**
84

85 Original Resolution 251 reads as follows:

86 Resolved, that the Medical Society of the State of New York work with the New York State
87 Department of Financial Services, the Department of Health and other appropriate regulatory
88 agencies to make it mandatory that the insurance companies be responsible to inform the
89 physician or the entity seeking approval, in real-time:

90 1-whether the patient is insured or not

91 2-if their coverage expires before the procedure or is expected to expire in short-term,

92 3-if they are not the primary provider for the patient.

93 Or be obliged to provide a timely and fair compensation for the service rendered; and be it
94 further
95

96 Resolved, that the Medical Society of the State of New York partner with the relevant New York
97 State regulatory authorities and stakeholders to create new regulations for insurance
98 companies, such that there be a time limit for request for reimbursement, not exceeding six
99 months; and be it further
100

101 Resolved, that the Medical Society of the State of New York partner with the relevant New York
102 State regulatory authorities and stakeholders to create new regulations for insurance
103 companies, such that all individuals, in any venue, activity, or specialty, are entitled to
104 remuneration for work performed; and be it further
105

106 Resolved, that Medical Society of the State of New York partner with the relevant New York
107 State regulatory authorities and stakeholders to create new regulations for insurance
108 companies, such that the phrase “payment may not be guaranteed” should be banned from any
109 contractual agreement with an insurance company; and be it further
110

111 Resolved, that Medical Society of the State of New York forward the above resolution to the
112 American Medical Association’s House of Delegates for advocacy directed at regulating
113 insurance companies at the federal level.
114

115 **RECOMMENDATION A:**
116 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING REAFFIRMATION OF**
117 **MSSNY POLICY INSTEAD OF RESOLUTION 251:**
118

119 **165.927 Physicians Should Not Be Financially Liable in Retrospective Denials**

120 MSSNY will seek, by legislation, regulation, or other appropriate means, the following:

121 (a) To prohibit retrospective denials caused by the employer’s failure to pay
122 premiums in a timely fashion, or the employer failing to provide the carrier with timely
123 and correct eligibility data.

124 (b) To prohibit a payor from attempting to retroactively deny or adjust a claim after
125 payment is made to a physician for care rendered.

126 (c) That should obtain a complete ban on retrospective denials or adjustments not
127 be able to be enacted, seek to prohibit insurers from making a retroactive denial and/or
128 adjustment of a reimbursement beyond 90 days after payment is made to the physician
129 for care rendered.

130 (d) In the event that an insurer attempts to issue a retroactive denial or adjustment
131 after payment is made to the physician, to require such insurer to provide the physician
132 with a detailed explanation on each patient as to the circumstances surrounding the
133 retroactive adjustment or reimbursement and/or denial, and provide the physician with
134 an effective opportunity to counter the reasons for the adjustment.

135 (e) In the event that an insurer has already paid the physician for a service, but later
136 issues a retrospective denial or adjustment, to prohibit such insurer from attempting to
137 recoup its payments for that service via offsets on payments for other services.

138 MSSNY will work regularly with all appropriate regulatory agencies to ensure that the
139 regulators are kept apprised of payment policies employed by plans which do not
140 comport with the law. (HOD 2001-65; Reaffirmed HOD 2010-259; Reaffirmed HOD
141 2019-63)
142

143 **RECOMMENDATION B:**
144 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS REAFFIRMATION OF MSSNY POLICY**
145 **165.927.**
146

147 The Reference Committee heard significant testimony in support of the resolution because
148 health insurers continue to engage in practices to take back previous payments based upon
149 allegations that the patient was not insured at the time of the health care service was provided.
150 The Reference Committee heard testimony that it was unfair to make the physician financially
151 responsible when the fault will often lie either with the insurer who failed to update their records
152 or with the employer providing the coverage failing to timely notify the insurer. However, like
153 resolution 250, the Reference Committee was advised of existing MSSNY Policy 165.927 that is
154 more specific and more protective of physician rights than the proposed resolution. Specifically,
155 it urges MSSNY to advocate for a law that would “prohibit a payor from attempting to
156 retroactively deny or adjust a claim after payment is made to a physician for care rendered”, as
157 well as other goals to limit excessive health insurer overpayment recovery powers. The
158 Reference Committee was made aware that MSSNY has regularly brought this concern to
159 legislators and to state regulators. Therefore, the Reference Committee recommends that this
160 existing policy be re-affirmed in lieu of the proposed resolution.

161 **4. RESOLUTION 253 – PATIENT CENTERED MEDICAL HOME ADMINISTRATIVE**
162 **BURDENS**
163

164 Original Resolution 253 reads as follows:

165 RESOLVED, that the Medical Society of the State of New York work with the National
166 Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) and other regulatory bodies to streamline and
167 eliminate unproven metric collection that takes time away from patient contact and quality care;
168 and be it further

169
170 RESOLVED, that the Medical Society of the State of New York urge the American Medical
171 Association to also seek regulations to reduce the increasing strain that Patient Centered
172 Medical Home (PCMH) metrics are placing on physicians and patient care.

173
174 **RECOMMENDATION:**

175 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 253 BE ADOPTED**
176

177 The Reference Committee heard testimony from several physicians that support. Specifically,
178 the problem is that there are several reporting measures developed by national agencies such
179 as NCQA that are either cumbersome to collect and report, or do not make much sense to
180 collect and report. Therefore, the Reference Committee recommends that the resolution be
181 adopted.
182

183
184 **5. RESOLUTION 254 – PATIENT CENTERED MEDICAL HOME - METRICS AND FUNDING**
185

186 Original Resolution 254 reads as follows:

187 RESOLVED, that MSSNY work with the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) to
188 implement stricter criteria for approval of those metrics and practices that organizations select to
189 ensure that they are evidence-based; and be it further

190
191 RESOLVED, that MSSNY work with National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA) to
192 provide adequate funding and technical assistance to Patient Centered Medical Home
193 recipients to implement evidence-based practices.
194

195 **RECOMMENDATION:**

196 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 254 BE ADOPTED**
197

198 The Reference Committee heard testimony from several physicians that support. Specifically,
199 the problem is that the current NCQA guidelines are not specific enough and strictly targeted for
200 evidence-based practices that will increase the overall healthcare of the patient. Therefore, the
201 Reference Committee recommends that the resolution be adopted.
202

203
204 **6. RESOLUTION 252 – CLARIFICATION OF DOWNGRADED MODIFIERS FOR NEW YORK**
205 **INDEPENDENT DISPUTE RESOLUTION**
206

207 Original Resolution 252 reads as follows:

208 RESOLVED, that MSSNY advocate with Department of Financial Services to establish a clear
209 mechanism for the provider to indicate to the Independent Dispute Resolution entity that their
210 charges for consideration in the dispute should be their standard charge for that code, reduced
211 by standard downgrade modifiers.
212

213 **RECOMMENDATION A:**

214 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTE**
215 **AMENDMENT BE ACCEPTED INSTEAD OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 252:**
216

217 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY advocate with the Department of Financial Services to establish**
218 **a clear mechanism to ensure that a physician submitting a surprise medical bill dispute**
219 **to an Independent Dispute Resolution entity is not penalized for submitting a claim that**
220 **includes an appropriate modifier such as a code that recognizes the services of an**
221 **assistant or co-surgeon.**
222

223 **RECOMMENDATION B:**
224 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 252 BE**
225 **ADOPTED**
226

227 The Reference Committee heard testimony from several physicians that supported the
228 resolution. Specifically, the issue is that, when a physician brings a surprise out of network bill
229 dispute to the Independent Dispute resolution process for services involving a modifier such as
230 use of an assistant surgeon, the IDR reviewer will consider the full charge for such services in
231 its review rather than the discounted charge based upon the modifier. This failure to account for
232 the discounted fee that would normally be due for an assistant or co-surgeon makes it far more
233 likely for the IDR reviewer to award the health insurer, not the physician. This unfairly penalizes
234 the physician. The Reference Committee recommends that the resolution be amended to more
235 specifically articulate this concern raised by the sponsor of the resolution.
236

237

238 **7. RESOLUTION 255 – VALUE BASED PAYMENT MODELS, EVIDENCE BASED MEDICINE**
239 **AND QUALITY OF CARE**
240

241 Original Resolution 255 reads as follows:

242 **RESOLVED, that the Medical Society of the State of New York seek legislation prohibiting**
243 **hospitals, insurance companies and healthcare organizations from establishing rules that limit**
244 **physicians to one set of guidelines.**
245

246 **RECOMMENDATION A:**
247 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTE**
248 **AMENDMENT BE ACCEPTED INSTEAD OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 255:**
249

250 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY advocate to ensure that reimbursement from a health insurer or**
251 **health system for patient care not be conditioned upon following of a single set of**
252 **treatment guidelines.**
253

254 **RECOMMENDATION B:**
255 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 255 BE**
256 **ADOPTED.**
257

258 The Reference Committee heard testimony from several physicians who shared the goal of the
259 resolution to ensure physicians were not required to follow patient care protocols which they did
260 not believe were in the best interests of their patients. However, some physicians testified that
261 the wording for the resolution needed to be clarified to more specifically articulate the goal of the
262 resolution to help ensure physicians are protected from adverse consequences of ensuring their
263 patients receive care that is recommended by the physician, even if it diverts from protocols.
264 Therefore, the Reference Committee recommended that the resolution be revised to reflect a
265 statement with which it believed most physicians would agree: that physicians not be financially
266 penalized for providing care to patients they believe is most appropriate to treat that patients'
267 condition.
268

269 **8. RESOLUTION 256 – CMS INNOVATION PROJECTS**

270
271 Original resolution 256 reads as follows:

272 RESOLVED, That, to help protect seniors and persons and persons with disabilities, the
273 Medical Society of the State of New York urge the American Medical Association to:

- 274
275 1. Take note of any projects that CMS’s Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation has
276 initially devised as models, but may now be expanding into full–scale projects that could
277 potentially be used to broadly transform the entire Medicare program; and
278 2. Push for Congressional oversight over any such projects.
279

280 **RECOMMENDATION A:**

281 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTE**
282 **AMENDMENTS BE ACCEPTED INSTEAD OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 256:**
283

284 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY work with the AMA to continue to advocate against mandatory**
285 **participation in Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation (CMMI) demonstration**
286 **projects, and advocate for CMMI instead to focus on the development of voluntary pilot**
287 **projects; and be it further**
288

289 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY and the AMA advocate to ensure that any CMMI project that**
290 **requires physician participation be required to be approved by Congress.**
291

292 **RECOMMENDATION B:**

293 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 256 BE**
294 **ADOPTED.**
295

296 The Reference Committee heard mixed testimony on this resolution. Testifiers in support noted
297 the importance of assuring Congressional oversight over decisions affecting Medicare physician
298 payment. One example is the Medicare joint replacement bundled payment program
299 (<https://innovation.cms.gov/innovation-models/cjr>) that was at one point a mandatory program.
300 Testifiers raising concerns noted that there are some physicians that are very interested in
301 participating in pilot projects that hold the possibility to enhance patient care and assure fair
302 payment. The Reference Committee was also made aware of an AMA policy that calls upon the
303 AMA to “continue to advocate against mandatory Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation
304 (CMMI) demonstration projects” and to “advocate that CMMI focus on the development of
305 multiple pilot projects in many specialties, which are voluntary and tailored to the needs of local
306 communities and the needs of different specialties.” Therefore, the Reference Committee
307 recommended adopting portions of this policy into a MSSNY policy.
308
309

310 **9. RESOLUTION 257 – NINETY DAY REFILLS AND CARE GAPS FAILURES**

311 Original Resolution 257 reads as follows:

312 RESOLVED, that practices are not fiscally penalized if patients fail to refill their timely
313 prescriptions and be it further
314

315
316 RESOLVED, that pharmacies are not allowed to infringe on our profession for financial benefit if
317 they do not refill the 90-day prescriptions in lieu of receiving greater remuneration, and be it
318 further
319

320 RESOLVED, that MSSNY and the AMA demand accountability for any insurance provider who
321 violates their policies while simultaneously denying the patients the very same 90-day provision
322 used to deny physicians due payment (s)

323 **RECOMMENDATION A:**
324 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTE**
325 **AMENDMENTS BE ACCEPTED INSTEAD OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 257:**
326

327 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY advocate to ensure that physicians are not financially penalized**
328 **by health insurers for patients' refusal to obtain medications recommended by their**
329 **physicians; and be it further**
330

331 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY advocate to ensure that health insurers cover, and pharmacies**
332 **dispense prescription medications in quantities recommended by the patients'**
333 **physicians**
334

335 **RECOMMENDATION B:**
336 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 257 BE**
337 **ADOPTED.**
338

339 The Reference Committee heard testimony from several physicians in support of this resolution
340 due to various circumstances where patients either cannot or do not obtain the prescriptions or
341 re-fills that are prescribed by their physicians. However, there were concerns from the
342 Reference Committee regarding the vague language used in the proposed resolves. Therefore,
343 the Reference Committee recommended adoption of the above substitute resolution which
344 reflects what they believe to be the consensus goal to ensure that patients can obtain
345 medications in the quantities recommended by their physician, and that physicians are not
346 penalized for patient non-compliance.
347

348 349 **10. RESOLUTION 259 – COVERAGE FOR PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT** 350

351 Original Resolution 259 reads as follows:

352 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY advocates either through regulation or legislation that all insurers**
353 **within New York State provide reimbursement for personal protective equipment used in the**
354 **care of patients to which they have contractual obligation; and be it further**
355

356 **RESOLVED, that a similar resolution be brought to the AMA.**
357

358 **RECOMMENDATION A:**
359 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FOLLOWING SUBSTITUTE**
360 **AMENDMENTS BE ACCEPTED INSTEAD OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 259:**
361

362 **RESOLVED, That the Medical Society of the State of New York reaffirm MSSNY Policy**
363 **270.958; and be it further**
364

365 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY continue to advocate for legislation, regulation, or other**
366 **appropriate regulatory intervention to ensure that health insurers help their network**
367 **physicians cover the costs of Personal Protective Equipment necessary for providing**
368 **patient care.**
369

370 **RECOMMENDATION B:**
371 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 259 BE**
372 **ADOPTED**
373

374 The Reference Committee heard testimony in support regarding the significant increase in the
375 cost of PPE during the pandemic which became a major practice expense that health insurers
376 failed to reimburse, despite MSSNY outreach to the New York Health Plan Association, the NYS
2022 Reference Committee Report on Socio-Medical Economics Page 8

377 Department of Financial Services and the Legislature. In addition to insurance reimbursement,
378 MSSNY has been actively advocating based upon existing policy 270.958 to have the state or
379 federal government be a central supplier of low or no cost PPE. The Reference Committee
380 believes that the MSSNY policy should reflect the multiple steps that can be taken to ensure
381 physicians have adequate PPE. Therefore, it recommends the above substitute resolution
382 incorporating elements of the proposed resolution and re-affirming MSSNY Policy in this area.
383

384 **270.958 Personal Protective Equipment Preparedness and Purchase**

385 The Medical Society of the State of New York will advocate that all community-based
386 physicians and its member institutions are appropriately protected by the use of personal
387 equipment (PPE) through the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. MSSNY will work with
388 the New York State Governor's Office and the New York State Department of Health to
389 develop mechanisms for New York State to become a central purchaser of PPE for
390 community-based physicians, institutions, and other health care entities in need of such
391 equipment. (Substitute adopted by Council 6/4/20; HOD 2020-167 and Late B)
392
393

394 **11. RESOLUTION 258 – PHARMACOGENETICS INSURANCE COVERAGE**

395
396 Original Resolution 258 reads as follows:

397 RESOLVED, that insurance companies should cover testing for enzyme deficiencies prior to
398 administration of medications; and be it further

399
400 RESOLVED, pharmaceutical companies create protocols for testing and informed consent
401 forms to aid clinicians and patients to make informed decisions; and be it further

402
403 RESOLVED, all genetic testing and enzyme deficiencies should be covered if they impact
404 potential life-saving treatment and severe morbidities if testing is not done.
405

406 **RECOMMENDATION:**

407 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 258 BE REFERRED**
408 **TO COUNCIL**

409
410 Your Reference Committee heard testimony regarding the importance for certain patients
411 undergoing chemotherapy to have coverage for dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD)
412 enzyme testing. The Reference Committee was also made aware of legislation (A.3191,
413 Fahy/S.1462, Breslin) that would require health insurers to pay for this testing. While the
414 Reference Committee was sympathetic to the goal of ensuring that health insurers pay for tests
415 for patients that are recommended by their physician, it believed the resolution should be
416 referred to Council for more study from physicians with expertise in this specific area.
417
418

419 **12. RESOLUTION 260 – CONCURRENT PROCESSING OF PROCEDURE EQUIPMENT.**

420
421 Original Resolution 260 reads as follows:

422 RESOLVED, that MSSNY will advocate for a state law or regulation that requires insurers to pay
423 all the CPT codes of a given procedure or operation at the same time; and be it further

424
425 RESOLVED, that MSSNY ask the AMA to advocate for federal law or regulation that requires
426 insurers to pay all the CPT codes of a given procedure or operation at the same time.
427

428 **RECOMMENDATION:**

429 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 260 BE REFERRED**
430 **TO COUNCIL.**

431 Your Reference Committee heard much testimony in support of this resolution. They raised
432 concerns that, with the implementation of the federal No Surprises Act, health insurers are
433 staggering processing and payment for various aspects of patient care services related to out of
434 network care provided by a physician in a hospital. While the Reference Committee shared the
435 concerns, they also had concerns that, as written, the resolution could be interpreted to
436 encourage health insurers' to delay payment for other types of services if any part of the service
437 was under review. For example, New York's current "Prompt Payment" law requires health
438 insurers to pay any undisputed portion of a claim within 30 days of submission, but as written if
439 enacted into law this resolution could enable health insurers to delay payment on all portions of
440 a claim submission even if only one component of the claim was being contested. Therefore,
441 the Reference Committee recommended referring this resolution to Council to understand the
442 problem in greater detail and create proper wording for this resolution.

Your chairperson is grateful to the committee members, namely, Christian Coletta, Richard Chang, MD, Jennifer Cushman, MD, Melissa Grageda, MD, and Peter Sosnow, MD.

Your Reference Committee Chairman also wishes to express his appreciation to Heather Lopez, Moe Auster, Maureen Ramirez, Jennifer LaRose, and Cayla Lauder for their help in preparation of this report.

Respectfully submitted,

Ronald Solomon

Ronald Solomon, MD, Kings County

Richard Chang

Richard Chang, MD, Young Physicians' Section

Christian Coletta

Christian Coletta, Student, Nassau County

Jennifer Cushman

Jennifer Cushman, MD, Suffolk County

Melissa Grageda

Melissa Grageda, MD, Richmond County

Peter Sosnow

Peter Sosnow, MD, Albany County