

Report of the Reference Committee B on Division of Governmental Affairs

Presented by: Michael Pisacano, MD, Chair

1 **Madame Speaker and Members of the House of Delegates:**

2

3 Your Reference Committee recommends the following consent calendar for acceptance:

4

5 **RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION**

6 1. Resolution 100 - Nursing Home Inspections Should Include Physicians

7 2. Sunset Review – Reference Committee on Governmental Affairs B

8

9 **RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION AS AMENDED OR SUBSTITUTED**

10 3. Resolution 101 - Promote Legislation To Ensure Confidentiality Of Peer Support

11 4. Resolution 102 - Copying and/or scanning costs

12 5. Resolution 103 - Pharmacy Benefit Managers Medical Necessity Criteria for Prescribed
13 Medications

14 6. Resolution 105 - Expanded Clinical Roles for Medical Assistants in New York State

15 7. Resolution 106 - Medicaid Payment of 20% Residual Medicare Fee

16 8. Resolution 107 - Medical Liability Coverage through the Federal Tort Claims Act

17 9. Resolution 109 - Study and Promotion of Telemedicine Payment Parity

18 10. Resolution 110 - Insurers withhold Key Financial Information - Out-of-Network Physicians

19 11. Resolution 111 - Any Willing Provider with Universal Credentialing

20

21 **REFERRED TO COUNCIL**

22 12. Resolution 112 - Providing Income Tax Credits to Health Care Professionals for Clinical
23 Preceptorships

24

25 **RECOMMENDED NOT FOR ADOPTION**

26 13. Resolution 104 - Disclosure of Physician Protected Health Information (PHI) on Universal
27 Health Care Professional Applications

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

1. RESOLUTION 100 - NURSING HOME INSPECTIONS SHOULD INCLUDE PHYSICIANS

THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 101 BE ADOPTED.

Resolution 100 urges (1) that the Department of Health of the State of New York (NYSDOH) be required to assign at least one physician as a member of every health department nursing home inspection team (2) that physicians involved in Nursing Home inspections be involved in any appeals (3) that the NYSDOH physicians be made available to review and answer questions and appeals from physicians working with patients in the home being reviewed.

The U. S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) developed a national process for nursing home inspections to ensure quality care. In New York State, this is carried out by the Department of Health. The purpose of these inspections, also called surveys, is to ensure that your residence is meeting all required regulations and that your home is a safe place to live. Some homes are inspected every 12 months, while others are inspected every 18 months. The Department of Health determines the inspection cycle for your home, based on the care provided by your home at the time of the previous inspection.

According to the New York State’s Department of Health’s website[i], the state's survey team most often includes a nurse, dietitian, sanitarian and social worker, but other health professionals such as physicians, physical therapists and pharmacists may also participate.

The inspection survey includes touring the nursing home, meeting with staff and administrators, meeting with members of the resident council and/or other residents, assessing the safety of the building, observing meals to assure that residents' nutritional needs are being met, interviewing nursing home residents, examining residents' medical records and observing clinical procedures and summarizing the results of the survey and reporting findings to the nursing home administrator.

Your Reference Committee heard testimony in support of this Resolution. Testimony stressed the critical role that physicians have in contributing to the resolution of issues pertaining to inspections. Currently, citations could be leveled against a facility without physicians being involved.

[i] https://www.health.ny.gov/facilities/nursing/select_nh/appendixa.htm

2. Sunset Review – Reference Committee on Governmental Affairs B

THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE SUNSET REPORT FOR DGA-B FOR 2017 BE ADOPTED.

1 3. RESOLUTION 101 - PROMOTE LEGISLATION TO ENSURE CONFIDENTIALITY OF PEER
2 SUPPORT

3
4 **RECOMMENDATION A:**

5
6 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FIRST RESOLVE OF**
7 **RESOLUTION 101 BE AMENDED BY ADDITION AS FOLLOWS:**

8
9 **RESOLVED**, that the Medical Society of the State of New York (1) adopt a position affirming the
10 confidentiality of peer support **EXCLUDING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS EXISTING**
11 **UNDER CURRENT STATE LAW.**

12
13 **RECOMMENDATION B:**

14
15 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 101 BE ADOPTED**
16 **AS AMENDED.**

17
18 Resolution 101 calls upon the Medical Society of the State of New York to (1) adopt a position
19 affirming the confidentiality of peer support (2) promote legislation to assure the confidentiality of
20 peer support.

21
22 Your Reference Committee heard testimony in support of this Resolution. Your Reference
23 Committee was informed that there are entities that require physicians to disclose very personal
24 and sensitive information. Typically hospitals and HMOs take the opportunity to ask intrusive
25 questions regarding personal health information on applications which may discourage a
26 physician from getting help.

27
28 Your Reference Committee was also informed that MSSNY already supports legislation S.2251
29 which provides for the confidentiality of all matters relating to the conducting of peer support
30 programs for physicians, dentists, physician assistants and nurse practitioners.

31
32 Your Reference Committee was informed that current MSSNY Policy 125.993 calls for
33 programs to assist physicians in early identification and management of physician stress.

34
35 4. RESOLUTION 102 - COPYING AND/OR SCANNING COSTS

36
37 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 102 BE**
38 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF RESOLUTION 102:**

39
40 **RESOLVED**, That MSSNY transmit a resolution to the AMA seeking changes to the
41 **Federal HIPAA regulations so that charges related to providing patient records defer to**
42 **state law to determine charges for searching, retrieval and matters relating to charges**
43 **that may be imposed for providing patients with medical records.**

44
45 Resolution 102 directs MSSNY to (1) support legislation to amend Statute Sections 17 & 18 of
46 Public Health Law (PHL) to include scanning and electronic transmission, with charges for
47 copying costs (2) include copying, scanning, and transmission costs to be set to \$1.00 per page,

1 allow a Search Fee of \$20.00, and permit a reasonable postage charge to parties requesting
2 medical records.

3
4 Your Reference Committee was informed by legal counsel that the Federal HIPAA regulations
5 do not permit a medical practice to impose a charge related to search and retrieval of patient
6 information. Moreover, HIPAA permits a medical practice to charge the actual costs incurred to
7 copy records. The resolution calls for the amendment of state law to permit a fee for search and
8 retrieval. However, even if MSSNY was able to amend state law to permit a search and
9 retrieval fee, such fee would nevertheless be prohibited under the Federal HIPAA regulations.
10 Accordingly in order to change the law to permit a search and retrieval fee, it would be
11 necessary to amend the HIPAA regulations. It is questionable whether state law provisions that
12 permit a per page charge are pre-empted by HIPAA regulations that limit copying charges to
13 actual costs. In order to amend state law, it is necessary for the AMA to seek change to the
14 HIPAA regulations.

15
16 MSSNY's current Policy 180.982 already urges MSSNY to advocate for a higher charge for
17 copies of paper medical records which is related to the actual cost of reproduction. Also, under
18 current MSSNY policy, 180.988, MSSNY will seek changes in state law to allow physicians to
19 charge \$2 per page for the first 15 pages and \$1 per page thereafter, for photocopies of records
20 requested for purposes unrelated to ongoing patient care and to allow other charges for mailing
21 costs. (HOD 03-59; Reaffirmed HOD 2010-257) Also, under current MSSNY Policy 180.992,
22 MSSNY will seek legislation to a) increase the amount annually by the previous year's
23 Consumer Price Index (CPI) that physicians can charge to reproduce copies of medical records
24 in order to reflect inflation and the higher cost of living endured by physicians in New York; and
25 b) to allow physicians to charge a search and retrieval fee of \$15.00 plus \$1.00 per page and
26 that both fees be increased annually by an amount equal to the previous year's CPI. (HOD
27 2000-53; Reaffirmed HOD 1905-86; Reaffirmed HOD 2010-257) Additionally, current MSSNY
28 Policy 180.995 instructs MSSNY to seek legislation to amend the New York State Public Health
29 Law 17 and 18 to include language that would call for a charge of \$1.00 per page for copies of
30 patient information requested by a patient for use to facilitate the patient's health care; and such
31 legislation should include a provision that when copies are requested by other parties or for
32 other purposes, the provider may impose a fee of up to \$50.00 for search and retrieval, one
33 dollar per page for paper copies, and two dollars per page for microfilm copies. (HOD 1998-66;
34 Reaffirmed HOD 2010-257) Lastly, current MSSNY Policy 180.999 instructs MSSNY to seek
35 legislation to amend Section 18 of the Public Health Law accordingly. (HOD 1996-91; Reaffirmed
36 HOD 1997-65; Reaffirmed HOD 2010-95; Reaffirmed HOD 2011-118)

37
38 5. RESOLUTION 103 - PHARMACY BENEFIT MANAGERS MEDICAL NECESSITY CRITERIA
39 FOR PRESCRIBED MEDICATIONS

40
41 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 103 BE**
42 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 103:**

43
44 **RESOLVED, That MSSNY seek regulation or legislation limiting Pharmacy Benefit**
45 **Manager requests for information to pertinent and relevant information which**
46 **demonstrates that a patient meets medical necessity for prescribed medications.**

1 Resolution 103 calls upon MSSNY to seek regulation or legislation that requires Pharmacy
2 Benefit Managers accept a completed form or letter that demonstrates a patient meets medical
3 necessity criteria for medications prescribed in lieu of copies of progress notes from a patient's
4 medical record.

5

6 Your Reference Committee discussed the potential unintended consequences of the proposed
7 resolution that could burden physicians with filling out additional forms. While the reference
8 committee supported the intent of the resolution, the amended resolution tries to streamline the
9 process without imposing additional paperwork.

10

11 Your Committee was also informed that Governor Cuomo introduced a budget proposal that
12 would require Pharmacy Benefit Managers to immediately register with the State, and be
13 subject to new regulations requiring disclosure of financial incentives or benefits for promoting
14 the use of certain drugs, as well as other financial arrangements affecting customers. The
15 proposal would also require Pharmacy Benefit Managers to be licensed by the State
16 Department of Financial Services beginning in 2019. The Department of Financial Services will
17 also have the authority to suspend or revoke a Pharmacy Benefit Manager's license for
18 deceptive, unfair, or abusive business practices, or for conduct that violates the standards set
19 by the Department. While this proposal wasn't included in the final budget, your committee was
20 informed that MSSNY supports A.2661. This bill would require financial transparency and
21 require PBMs to operate in the interest of their health plan clients.

22

23 6. RESOLUTION 105 - EXPANDED CLINICAL ROLES FOR MEDICAL ASSISTANTS IN NEW
24 YORK STATE

25

26 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 105 BE**
27 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 105:**

28

29 **RESOLVED, that MSSNY will work with New York State approved medical assistant**
30 **teaching programs to develop suitable rules defining clinical work guidelines that can be**
31 **incorporated into current New York state regulations.**

32

33 Resolution 105 directs MSSNY to work with New York State approved Medical Assistant
34 teaching programs to develop suitable rules defining and expanding independent clinical work
35 guidelines that can be incorporated into current New York State regulations.

36

37 Your Committee heard testimony about the importance that medical assistants have in health
38 care. The amended version highlights the importance to have physician oversight over the
39 duties of medical assistants. Additionally, your Committee was informed that MSSNY is
40 already advocating for legislation introduced by Senator Funke. S.1047 would provide for the
41 registration and regulation of the practice of clinical medical assistants.

42

43 7. RESOLUTION 106 - MEDICAID PAYMENT OF 20% RESIDUAL MEDICARE FEE

44

45 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 106 BE**
46 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 106:**

47

1 **RESOLVED, That MSSNY pursue state regulatory and/or legislative action seeking to**
2 **restore funding for “crossover” payments, cut in previous years, for care provided by**
3 **physicians to patients who are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid.**

4
5 Resolution 106 urges MSSNY to pursue regulatory and/or legislative action seeking to have
6 Medicaid resume payment of this shortfall as has been done in the past.

7
8 Your committee discussed the phrasing of the original resolution and decided to have a more
9 clear detailed version that described what the shortfall meant in more detail.

10
11 Your Committee was also informed that MSSNY has long advocated for this legislation. This
12 year’s bill is A.1435 sponsored by Assemblyman Cahill. MSSNY also included this issue in our
13 budget testimony and have advocated for the final budget to include funding to restore funding
14 for “crossover” payments, cut in previous years, for care provided by physicians to patients who
15 are dually eligible for Medicare and Medicaid. For many years, New York State paid most or at
16 least some of the cost-sharing payments for Medicare enrolled patients who are also eligible for
17 Medicaid. However, these payments were mostly eliminated several years ago in the 2003-04
18 State Budget, and then completely eliminated in the 2015-16 State Budget. These cuts have
19 had a disproportionately negative impact on health care practices that treat the poorest and
20 sickest of patients. For example, community cancer clinics potentially will lose tens of
21 thousands of dollars as a result of these cuts, exacerbating other economic trends that are
22 forcing many of these practices to close or be acquired by hospitals. As these clinics and
23 physician practices close, patients will have to go to hospitals to receive care that they could be
24 receiving in the community setting.

25
26 Your Reference Committee agrees with the concerns of the sponsors of this resolution. As part
27 of the 2015-16 State Budget, the State Legislature and the Governor eliminated the remainder
28 of the 20% Medicare coinsurance for dual eligible patients.

29
30 8.RESOLUTION 107 - MEDICAL LIABILITY COVERAGE THROUGH THE FEDERAL TORT
31 CLAIMS ACT

32 **RECOMMENDATION A**

33
34 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE FIRST RESOLVE OF**
35 **RESOLUTION 107 BE AMENDED BY ADDITION AND DELETION AS FOLLOWS:**

36
37 **RESOLVED, That MSSNY once again seek legislation that would lead to malpractice insurance**
38 **coverage through the Federal Tort Claims Act for**~~all physicians who participate in Medicare~~
39 ~~and/or Medicaid insurance plans~~ **physicians for the specific services for patients covered**
40 **under Medicare and/or Medicaid Insurance plans;**

41 **RECOMMENDATION B**

42
43 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT THE SECOND RESOLVE OF**
44 **RESOLUTION 107 BE AMENDED BY ADDITION AS FOLLOWS:**

1 **RESOLVED**, that MSSNY introduce a similar resolution to the AMA’s annual meetings in June
2 2017 **AND IN SUBSEQUENT YEARS UNTIL MSSNY POLICY IS ADOPTED.**

3 **RECOMMENDATION C**

4

5 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 107 BE ADOPTED**
6 **AS AMENDED.**

7

8 Resolution 107 directs MSSNY once again seek legislation that would lead to malpractice
9 insurance coverage through the Federal Tort Claims Act for all physicians who participate in
10 Medicare and/or Medicaid Insurance plans; and be it further that MSSNY introduce a similar
11 resolution to the AMA at its annual Meeting in June, 2017.

12

13 **9. RESOLUTION 109 - STUDY AND PROMOTION OF TELEMEDICINE PAYMENT PARITY**

14

15 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 109 BE**
16 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 109:**

17

18 **RESOLVED**, That MSSNY will work with individual legislators throughout the state to
19 introduce legislation that would require parity of payment between services provided in-
20 person and via telemedicine.

21

22 Resolution 109 urges MSSNY to 1) conduct a survey of member physicians across New York
23 State to determine generally that in their experience, services provided via telemedicine are
24 reimbursed at the same payment versus the same services provided in-person, and 2) the
25 Medical Society of the State of New York work with individual legislators throughout the state to
26 reintroduce legislation that would require parity of payment for services provided in-person
27 versus via telemedicine.

28

29 Your Reference Committee was informed that MSSNY will support legislation that requires
30 insurers to pay for services provided via telehealth provided on the same basis and rate as for
31 services that are delivered in-person. MSSNY will work with the legislature to pass S.834
32 sponsored by Senator Young.

33

34 **10. RESOLUTION 110 - INSURERS WITHHOLD KEY FINANCIAL INFORMATION FROM**
35 **OUT-OF-NETWORK PHYSICIANS**

36

37 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 110 BE**
38 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 110:**

39

40 **RESOLVED**, That MSSNY seek legislation or regulation requiring important information
41 contained in a Claim Remittance or Explanation of Medical Benefits be sent to all treating
42 physicians

43 **RESOLVED**, That MSSNY seek legislation or regulation prohibiting insurers from using
44 the term “co–insurance” to refer to the obligation of individual policy holders and
45 suggest insurers to use a more applicable term such as “patient’s responsibility”.

46

1 Original Resolution 110 urges MSSNY to (1) seek legislation or regulation requiring important
2 information contained in a Claim Remittance or Explanation of Medical Benefits be sent to all
3 treating physicians (2) seek legislation or regulation prohibiting insurers from using the term
4 “co–insurance” to refer to the obligation of individual policy holders.

5
6 Your Reference Committee heard testimony that “co–insurance” wasn’t the right term and the
7 committee was asked to suggest a recommendation for alternative terminology.

8
9 11. RESOLUTION 111 - ANY WILLING PROVIDER WITH UNIVERSAL CREDENTIALING

10
11 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION 111 BE**
12 **ADOPTED IN LIEU OF ORIGINAL RESOLUTION 111:**

13
14 **RESOLVED, That MSSNY (1) re-affirm policy 130.941; and be it further**

15
16 **RESOLVED, That MSSNY seek through legislation and/or regulation which insurers**
17 **accept any willing provider consistent with existing MSSNY policy; and be it further**

18
19 **RESOLVED, That MSSNY seek, through legislation and/or regulation, requirements for**
20 **insurers to accept and reimburse, at in-network levels, credentialed out-of-network**
21 **providers willing to provide elective services to patients with no out-of-network benefits;**
22 **and be it further**

23
24 **RESOLVED, That the MSSNY delegation to the American Medical Association (AMA)**
25 **introduce a similar resolution at the next meeting of the AMA House Of Delegates for**
26 **similar requirement in federally sponsored plans, federal exchange, and/or self-funded**
27 **plans with no out-of-network benefits.**

28
29 Resolution 111 asks MSSNY to (1) seek, through legislation and/or regulation, mandates for
30 insurer acceptance of any willing provider provision for its members/insured as long as
31 nationally recognized credentialing criteria is met by the provider; (2) That MSSNY seek,
32 through legislation and/or regulation, requirements for insurer to accept and reimburse, at in-
33 network level, out-of-network providers willing to provide elective services to patients with no
34 out-of-network benefits as long as the provider meets nationally recognized credentialing
35 criteria; and 3) that the MSSNY Delegation to the American Medical Association (AMA)
36 introduce a similar resolution at the next meeting of the AMA House of Delegates for similar
37 requirement in federally sponsored plans, federal exchange, and/or self-funded plans with no
38 out-of-network benefits.

39
40 Your Reference Committee was informed that there is already extensive existing MSSNY policy
41 that addresses the original resolution. Additionally there is no such thing as nationally
42 recognized credentialing criteria.

43
44 Current MSSNY Policy 130.941 reads as follows:

45
46 **MSSNY Policy 130.941: Expand “Any Willing Provider” Legislation:** MSSNY will continue to
47 advocate for legislation that requires health insurers to include, within the network of any

1 product offered by the insurer, any physician who is able to meet the terms of participation in
2 that network. (HOD 2013-61; Reaffirmed HOD 2014-57)

3
4 Current MSSNY Policy 235.997 reads as follows:

5
6 **235.997 Physician Credentialing:** MSSNY adopts as policy the position that the NCQA is not
7 the appropriate organization to determine criteria for physician credentialing and will ask the
8 AMA to adopt a similar policy and seek to develop its own national physician credentialing
9 criteria through AMAP. (HOD 1997-87; Reaffirmed HOD 2014)

10
11 Current MSSNY Policy 265.875 reads as follows:

12
13 **265.875 Transparency in Out-of-Network Coverage:** The Medical Society of the State of New
14 York will seek legislation, regulation or other appropriate means to require greater transparency
15 for all health insurance policies which provide out-of-network coverage so that consumers and
16 physicians have a thorough knowledge and understanding of: 1) Available benefits by the
17 treating physicians and any restrictions on access to these benefits, either in-network or out-of-
18 network; 2) Physicians' ability to review and discuss all available treatment options, out-of-
19 network referrals, non-formulary medications, etc.; 3) Methodology of payment and anticipated
20 out-of-pocket expenses, etc

21 And this legislation, regulation or other appropriate means should assure that health insurance
22 companies selling out-of-network policies not be permitted to change or modify benefits or
23 coverage provisions during the time the policy is in force (HOD 2012-54).

24
25 **12. RESOLUTION 112 - PROVIDING INCOME TAX CREDIT TO HEALTH CARE**
26 **PROFESSIONALS FOR CLINICAL PRECEPTORSHIPS**

27 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT RESOLUTION 112 BE REFERRED**
28 **TO COUNCIL.**

29
30 Resolution 112 asks MSSNY to support the development of a New York state-wide clinical
31 preceptorship tax credit, whereby health care professionals can report on their tax returns the
32 time that they precept for New York state training institution students. The original resolution
33 also directed MSSNY to refer any legislation specific commentary of this resolution to our
34 MSSNY Medical Education Committee. Lastly, the resolution directed the MSSNY delegation
35 bring forward any finalized proposal related to preceptorship tax credits to the AMA to seek
36 similar relief in the form of a federal tax credit.

37
38 Your reference committee was informed that both houses of the legislature recently introduced
39 legislation that would establish a clinical preceptorship personal income tax credit for healthcare
40 professionals who provide community-based instruction to students. Preceptorships provide
41 students a bridge between classroom education and clinical hands-on training in a variety of
42 medical fields. Experienced clinicians act as preceptors to provide students with intense training
43 in their designated health field, an essential required component of clinical professional
44 development. Currently there is a substantial shortage of qualified preceptors in the state, and
45 both private and public institutions are struggling to secure and maintain clinical placements.

1 The introduced legislation would create a clinical preceptorship personal income tax credit, that
2 would incentivize participation in clinical training programs. The credit would be available to
3 community-based clinicians who provide community-based instruction as part of a clinical
4 preceptorship. The credit would be \$1,000 for each 100 hours of community-based instruction,
5 with a maximum credit of \$3,000.

6
7 Your Reference Committee heard extensive support for the concept of MSSNY encouraging
8 clinical preceptorships because of its positive impact in educating future physicians. However,
9 there was not a clear consensus on whether tax credits would be the best incentive to
10 expanding physician participation. Your committee refers this resolution to counsel for further
11 review due to an overwhelming amount of uncertainties. The corresponding bill S.4611 indicates
12 that community based health care practitioners will benefit from this tax credit, but a vast
13 amount of testimony depicted a shortage of preceptorship opportunities within hospitals. Your
14 Reference Committee heard testimony that indicated support for expanding the tax credit to a
15 broader reach beyond only "community-based." Your committee seeks clarification of this.
16 Further testimony voiced concern for the addition of potentially burdensome documentation that
17 would be required to receive the tax credit. – which may restrict that number of students a
18 physician is willing to teach.

19
20 Your committee request that council acknowledges these concerns and identify the best form of
21 remuneration as means to improve access to clinical preceptorships.

22
23 Additional questions include:

- 24
25 1. What hours can be included in the calculation of teaching time?
26 2. Is it only face-to-face and in the presence of a patient or during a procedure?
27 3. Would time spent in case discussion seminars, reviewing and correcting write-ups, doing
28 formative feedback, preparing for conferences and presentations , and other important
29 teaching-related time and effort be included in the calculus?
30 4. What documentation would be required in support of the claim of hours?
31 5. How would this documentation be accomplished and audited?
32 6. Adding onerous documentation requirement will not encourage participation. Also,
33 would there be a requirement for documentation of formal appointment to a medical
34 school faculty, and which schools would be acceptable?
35 7. Would a \$3,000 maximum tax credit be enough of an incentive?
36

37 13. RESOLUTION 104 - DISCLOSURE OF PHYSICIAN PROTECTED HEALTH
38 INFORMATION (PHI) ON UNIVERSAL HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONAL APPLICATIONS

39
40 **THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED NOT FOR ADOPTION.**

41
42 Resolution 104 urges MSSNY to (1) adopt a policy which restricts the disclosure of personal
43 health information in the absence of impairment (2) initiate contact with the Health
44 Commissioner to guide the development of future universal credentialing application forms with
45 regard to personal health disclosures for physicians.
46

1 Your Reference Committee was informed that MSSNY has existing policy that already
2 addresses these concerns. Current MSSNY Policy 160.993 states that questions regarding
3 past history of referral and treatment for alcohol and other drug disorders and mental and
4 emotional illness should not be used on application forms by licensing, certifying, and
5 credentialing bodies because it is not believed that such questions are pertinent to a physician's
6 current ability to practice medicine but merely infringe on privacy matters. MSSNY is urging that
7 such bodies instead ask a question regarding the applicant's current ability to practice medicine,
8 such as: "Is your ability to practice medicine currently impaired by any physical, mental,
9 emotional, alcohol or substance abuse disorder?" (Council 7/23/92; Reaffirmed HOD 2014)

10

11 Your Committee was also informed that MSSNY has advocated for A.2389 which would require
12 the creation and use of uniform credentialing, re-credentialing and referral forms for physicians
13 and other providers to use for the purposes of applying for and being maintained on a health
14 care plan's provider panel and hospital's staff.

15

16